



AT-13825
6/16/03
10/Response

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In re Application of: §
Ianne Mae Howards Koritzinski et al. §
Serial No.: 09/476,708 §
Filed: December 30, 1999 §
For: IMAGING SYSTEM PROTOCOL §
HANDLING METHOD AND §
APPARATUS §

Group Art Unit: 3625
Examiner: Rosen, Nicholas D.
Atty. Docket: GEMS:0036--1/YOD
15-SV-5482

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

JUN 11 2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. 1.8	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents; PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below:	
June 2, 2003 Date	 Lynda Howell

**GROUP 3600 AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO FINAL
OFFICE ACTION MAILED APRIL 1, 2003**

Dear Examiner:

In the Office Action, claims 59-64 and 66-78 were rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103 as being obvious in light of various references. Applicants respectfully assert that the claims pending in the present application are, in fact, patentable and in condition for allowance. In light of the following remarks, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

1. Summary of Rejections

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected independent claim 59 and its respective dependent claims 60-64 and 66-68 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,891,035) in view of Reeder (U.S. Pat. No.